

Background geometry of DLCQ M theory on a p -torus and holography

Seungjoon Hyun* and Youngjai Kiem†
School of Physics, KIAS, Seoul 130-012, Korea

Via supergravity, we argue that the infinite Lorentz boost along the M theory circle *a la* Seiberg toward the DLCQ M theory compactified on a p -torus ($p < 5$) implies the holographic description of the microscopic theory. This argument lets us identify the background geometries of DLCQ M theory on a p -torus; for $p = 0$ ($p = 1$), the background geometry turns out to be eleven-dimensional (ten-dimensional) flat Minkowski space-time, respectively. Holography for these cases results from the localization of the light-cone momentum. For $p = 2, 3, 4$, the background geometries are the tensor products of an Anti de Sitter space and a sphere, which, according to the AdS/CFT correspondence, have the holographic conformal field theory description. These holographic descriptions are compatible to the microscopic theory of Seiberg based on $\tilde{M}$ theory on a spatial circle with the rescaled Planck length, giving an understanding of the validity of the AdS/CFT correspondence.

11.25.5q, 04.65.+e, 04.50.+h

I. INTRODUCTION

Matrix theory is the leading candidate for the quantum formulation of M theory [1] [2] [3]. In the eleven dimensional setup, Banks, Fischler, Shenker and Susskind (BFSS) proposed that the Yang-Mills quantum mechanics describes the quantum M theory [1]. Dijkgraaf, Verlinde and Verlinde (DVV) then formulated the matrix string theory by considering M theory compactified on a circle, which turned out to give a second quantized version of string theory [2]. Shortly thereafter, matrix formulations on a higher torus followed [4] [5] [6] [7]. Susskind noted that the light-cone compactification, the discrete light-cone quantization (DLCQ), of the M theory circle gives a formulation for a finite value of M -momentum that becomes identical to the original matrix theory in the large momentum limit [8]. Recently, Seiberg [9] and Sen [10] provided us with an understanding on why the matrix theory formulation works by resorting to the infinite Lorentz boost along the M theory circle, which gave us a unified derivation of the matrix theories, and clarified the issues that arise when we try to compactify M theory on a p -torus with p larger than three.

The concept of holography originates from the investigation of the quantum black hole physics where one supposes that the quantum degrees of freedom of a black hole are encoded in the black hole horizon [11]. The key observation is that the black hole horizon plays the role of the infinite red-shift surface from the point of view of an outside observer; it provides us with the right kinematic restriction to high frequencies, and in this situation the four dimensional content of the theory may be encoded in the two dimensional submanifold that corresponds to a black hole horizon. In the context of the matrix theory, again under the right choice of the kinematic regime, corresponding to the infinite momentum frame, the eleven dimensional content of the M theory are believed to be captured in the transversal nine-dimensional submanifold [1]. A recent addition to this list of holography is the correspondence between the Anti de Sitter (AdS) space and conformal field theory (CFT) [12] [13] [14]. Here under the restriction of large N , the conformal field theory living on the boundary submanifold of an AdS space is conjectured to be equivalent to the bulk supergravity (or string) theory on the AdS space [15]. From the string theory point of view, a large wrapping number of p -branes and a large momentum number can be interchanged under an appropriate U -duality transformation, suggesting that the relationship among these holographies may exist.

The convergence of these two lines of investigation is the main theme of this paper. Following the prescription of Seiberg [9] at the level of supergravities, we identify the background geometries of DLCQ M theory compactified on a p -torus. In this process, we get an argument that the Seiberg's DLCQ prescription amounts to the realization of the microscopic theory *via holography*. Our results, that we derive in detail in the following section, are summarized by the table 1.

*hyun@kiasph.kaist.ac.kr

†ykiem@kiasph.kaist.ac.kr

Torus	Partons	Background geometry	Holography	Microscopics
T^0	D -particle $\rightarrow M$ -momentum	$R^{1,10}$	LCML	BFSS R^{9N}/S_N
T^1	F -string momentum (D -string)	$R^{1,9}$	LCML	DVV R^{8N}/S_N
T^2	D -membrane $\rightarrow M$ -membrane	$AdS_4 \times S^7$	AdS_4/CFT_3	$D = 3$ SYM (Infrared limit)
T^3	D -threebrane	$AdS_5 \times S^5$	AdS_5/CFT_4	$D = 4$ SYM (Infrared limit)
T^4	D -fourbrane $\rightarrow M$ -fivebrane	$AdS_7 \times S^4$	AdS_7/CFT_6	$D = 6$ (2,0) theory (Infrared limit)

Table 1. The light-cone momentum localization is denoted as LCML.

For T^p with even p , the background geometry is eleven-dimensional, corresponding to type IIA/ M theory side description, whereas for odd p , it becomes ten-dimensional corresponding to the possibility of type IIB theory side description. For $p = 0, 1$, we demonstrate how the holography is realized at the level of the background geometry. The key feature here is that, even when we have a microscopic description of the theory in the infinite momentum frame, the background geometry, Minkowski space-time as it turns out, retains the full covariance symmetry $SO(1, 10)$ ($SO(1, 9)$) and full supersymmetry, as well as being classically singularity-free. Seiberg's argument actually enables us to identify the underlying microscopic hamiltonian in these cases; especially for $p = 1$, the justification for the DVV hamiltonian is given along this line. For $p = 2, 3, 4$, as was first noticed in [16] by one of us (SH), we find that the background geometry is an AdS space tensored with a sphere. Again, Seiberg's argument lets us identify the microscopic description of the theory and, from our point of view, this consideration yields a heuristic justification of the $AdS_{(4,5,7)}/CFT_{(3,4,6)}$ correspondence in the decompactification limit [16]. Similar to the case of $p = 0, 1$, the non-asymptotically flat background geometries in these cases retain the full supersymmetry and they have an appropriate space-time covariance, as well as being classically singularity-free. In the last section, we discuss implications of our analysis, comment on the recent observation by Vafa that AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence appears incomplete [17], and suggest further lines of development.

II. BACKGROUND GEOMETRY OF DLCQ M THEORY ON T^P

We start by setting up the notations reviewing the D -particle solutions on a p -torus [18] and the T duality on them to get D p -brane solutions. Then we consider the infinite Lorentz boost along the M theory circle *a la* Seiberg to formulate the DLCQ M theory. The analysis for the p -torus compactification for $p = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ will follow.

A. Review : D -particles on a small T^p and T duality

An efficient method of calculating the space-time geometry produced by D -particles compactified on T^p is to start from the description of D -particles in a non-compact space-time. The metric for D -particles in type IIA supergravity in a ten-dimensional non-compact space-time is

$$ds_{10}^2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{f_0}}dt^2 + \sqrt{f_0}(dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2), \quad (1)$$

where f_0 is a nine-dimensional harmonic function

$$f_0 = 1 + g_s l_s^7 \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n|^7}. \quad (2)$$

Here $\vec{x}$ denotes a nine-dimensional spatial point, and $\vec{x}_n$ and Q_n represent the position of D -particles and the coincident D -particle number at $\vec{x}_n$, respectively. Due to the supersymmetry of this configuration, we can simply linearly-superpose the contribution to f_0 from each D -particle. The dilaton ϕ and the R-R one-form gauge field A are given by

$$e^{-2\phi} = g_s^{-2} f_0^{-3/2}, \quad A_t = 1 - \frac{1}{f_0}, \quad (3)$$

where the string coupling constant g_s satisfies $g_s = e^{\phi_\infty}$. The parameter l_s denotes the string scale.

We now suppose that the coordinates $x_{10-p}, \dots$, and x_9 are compactified via the identification $x_i \simeq x_i + R_i$, where R_i denotes the circumference of each circle of p -torus T^p . This implies that we have to include the contribution to Eq. (2) from the mirror D -particles that result from the lattice translations. Therefore, Eq. (2) changes into

$$f_0 = 1 + g_s l_s^7 \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{n_{10-p}=-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_9=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{Q_n}{((x_{10-p} - x_{n(10-p)} + n_{10-p} R_{10-p})^2 + \cdots (x_9 - x_{n_9} + n_9 R_9)^2 + |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^2)^{7/2}} \quad (4)$$

where we introduce $\vec{r} = (x_1, \dots, x_{9-p})$. In the decompactified limit where $R_i \rightarrow \infty$, the leading contribution to Eq. (4) comes from the case when $n_{10-p} = \cdots = n_9 = 0$, yielding Eq. (2). On the other hand, for a vanishingly small torus, i.e., in the $R_i \rightarrow 0$ limit, we can replace the summations in Eq. (4) with integrations. We can thus approximate

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &\simeq 1 + g_s l_s^7 \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{R_{10-p} \cdots R_9} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_{10-p} \cdots dt_9 \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{((x_{10-p} - x_{n(10-p)} + t_{10-p})^2 + \cdots (x_9 - x_{n_9} + t_9)^2 + |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^2)^{7/2}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{(5-p)!!}{15} \frac{\pi^p g_s l_s^7}{R_{10-p} \cdots R_9} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^{7-p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where we explicitly evaluate the integrations going from the first line to the second. We note that, upon the integration over t_i s, the translational invariance of the t_i variables makes f_0 independent of $x_{10-p}, \dots$, and x_9 . In other words, due to its small size, we can no longer resolve the position on the torus T^p at the level of the classical metric. Eq. (5) is sensible for $p = 1, \dots, 6$ and $p = 8$. However, the double factorial function $(n)!!$ has a simple pole for $n = -2$, and we have to replace Eq. (5) with a logarithmic function for $p = 7$.

Since we take the limit $R_i \rightarrow 0$ to obtain Eq. (5), it is natural to take T dual transformation to each circle of the T^p . Upon taking the T dual transformations, the metric expression becomes

$$ds_{10}^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_0}} (-dt^2 + dx_{10-p}^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2) + \sqrt{f_0} (dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_{9-p}^2), \quad (6)$$

while the dilaton changes to

$$e^{-2\phi} = g_s'^{-2} f_0^{(p-3)/2}, \quad (7)$$

which naturally gives D p -brane solutions on the dual torus with radii $R'_i = l_s'^2 / R_i$. The dual string coupling g'_s is related to the original string coupling g_s via

$$g_s = g'_s \frac{l_s^p}{R'_{10-p} \cdots R'_9}.$$

The function f_0 , in terms of dual string coupling, can be written as

$$f_0 = 1 + \frac{(5-p)!!}{15} \pi^p g'_s l_s'^{7-p} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^{7-p}},$$

which is the well-known harmonic function for extremal D p -branes. For a finite g'_s , the function f_0 is regular under the limit $R_i \rightarrow 0$.

B. Infinite Lorentz boost along the M theory circle toward DLCQ M theory

In this subsection, we lift the ten-dimensional D -particle solutions compactified on the T^p into the eleven dimensions by the type IIA/ M theory connection. Following the prescription of Seiberg [9], we take the infinite boost along the M theory circle. For this purpose, it is more convenient to rewrite the IIA parameters g_s and l_s in terms of the eleven

dimensional supergravity parameters R_s , the circumference of the M theory circle, and l_p , the eleven dimensional Planck scale. They are related to each other by $g_s = (R_s/l_p)^{3/2}$ and $l_s^2 = l_p^3/R_s$. The function f_0 of Eq. (4) can be rewritten as

$$f_0 = 1 + \frac{l_p^9}{R_s^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{n_{10-p}=-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_9=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{Q_n}{((x_{10-p} - x_{n(10-p)} + n_{10-p}R_{10-p})^2 + \cdots (x_9 - x_{n_9} + n_9R_9)^2 + |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^2)^{7/2}} \quad (8)$$

The eleven-dimensional supergravity metric can be computed using Eq. (8) and Eq. (3) to give

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{11}^2 &= g_s^{2/3} e^{-2\phi/3} ds_{10}^2 + g_s^{-4/3} e^{4\phi/3} (dx_{11} - A_t dt)^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{f_0} dt^2 + f_0 \left(dx_{11} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{f_0}\right) dt \right)^2 + dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

According to the dual description between the type IIA theory and the M theory on a circle, the eleventh spatial coordinate x_{11} has the period of R_s and thus should be identified via the lattice translation

$$x_{11} \simeq x_{11} + R_s, \quad t \simeq t. \quad (10)$$

The key observation is that in terms of light-cone coordinates $x^\pm = x_{11} \pm t$, the metric Eq. (9) can be written as

$$ds_{11}^2 = dx^+ dx^- + h_0 dx^- dx^- + dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2 \quad (11)$$

while the lattice translation becomes

$$x^+ \simeq x^+ + R_s, \quad x^- \simeq x^- + R_s, \quad (12)$$

where the function

$$h_0 = f_0 - 1. \quad (13)$$

We note that Eq. (11) and Eq. (12) are identical to the equations considered in Ref. [19]. Under the infinite Lorentz boost by the boost parameter

$$\beta = \frac{R}{\sqrt{R^2 + 4R_s^2}}$$

taking the limit $\beta \rightarrow 1$ and $R_s \rightarrow 0$ while keeping R fixed, the lattice translation approaches

$$x^- \simeq x^- + R, \quad x^+ \simeq x^+.$$

In other words, M theory on the original spatial circle with the size R_s turns into the M theory on a light-cone circle with the size R . In this framework, x^+ plays the role of a time coordinate, and x^- plays the role of the eleventh coordinate. We note that the effect of the boost for the metric expression results the substitution

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 \rightarrow h &= \frac{R_s^2}{R^2} h_0 = \frac{l_p^9}{R^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{n_{10-p}=-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_9=-\infty}^{\infty} \\ &\times \frac{Q_n}{((x_{10-p} - x_{n(10-p)} + n_{10-p}R_{10-p})^2 + \cdots (x_9 - x_{n_9} + n_9R_9)^2 + |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^2)^{7/2}} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and the eleven-dimensional metric after the boost is

$$ds_{11}^2 = dx^+ dx^- + h dx^- dx^- + dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2. \quad (15)$$

The non-vanishing h , which is proportional to the D -particle numbers, provides a natural regulator that makes x^+ a true time coordinate and x^- a true spatial coordinate at the level of the classical metric. Strictly speaking, the metric Eq. (15) is valid for small value of R_s (and R), since it is the dimensionally lifted version from the ten-dimensional

solutions. During the dimensional reduction, the massive Kaluza-Klein modes disappear, and the contribution from these modes do not show up in Eq. (15). In subsections that follow, we will find the generalization of Eq. (15) that is valid for a finite R . In fact, since we will ultimately be interested in the case when $R \rightarrow \infty$, it is essential to include the massive Kaluza-Klein mode contribution, for they become massless in that limit.

Upon the compactification on the x^- circle, Eq. (15) reduces to non-asymptotically flat solutions of the type IIA supergravity [19]. An interesting point is that the same non-asymptotically flat solutions are obtained by starting from the usual D -particle (or D p -brane in the T -dualized version) solutions and concentrating on *near-horizon* geometry [12]. We will elaborate on this point in the later subsections.

C. T^0 : BFSS matrix theory

We start from the case originally considered by Banks, Fischler, Shenker and Susskind in their formulation of the matrix theory [1]. The eleven dimensional metric in this case is Eq. (15) with $p = 0$

$$ds_{11}^2 = dx^+ dx^- + \frac{l_p^9}{R^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^7} dx^- dx^- + dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_9^2. \quad (16)$$

This metric describes the space-time geometry for small R , since it only contains the contribution from the Kaluza-Klein zero mode. To motivate the metric expression that is valid for a finite R , we note that Eq. (16) (with $N = 1$) is the Kaluza-Klein zero mode part ($1/R$ part of the delta function $\delta(x^-)$) of the eleven dimensional Aichelberg-Sexl metric [20]

$$ds_{11}^2 = dx^+ dx^- + l_p^9 \frac{p_-}{r^7} \delta(x^-) dx^- dx^- + dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_9^2 \quad (17)$$

representing the graviton moving along the asymptotic light-cone direction, where we identify the light-cone momentum $p_- = Q_1/R$ [21]. To include the contribution to the metric from all the massive Kaluza-Klein modes, we generalize Eq. (16) as follows.

$$ds_{11}^2 = dx^+ dx^- + l_p^9 \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{n_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{-n} \delta(x^- - x_n^- + n_0 R)}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^7} dx^- dx^- + dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_9^2, \quad (18)$$

where we introduce two sets of parameters that are used to denote the light-cone momentum $p_{-n} = Q_n/R$ and the light-cone position x_n^- . Eq. (18) is manifestly invariant under the lattice translation $x^- \rightarrow x^- + R$, which is reminiscent of the requirement of the modular invariance for the string amplitudes. Furthermore, since the light-cone circle size is finite, we should be able to resolve the position of the graviton x_n^- at the level of the classical metric.

As we take the limit $R \rightarrow 0$, we can replace the infinite summation in Eq. (18) into an integral to recover Eq. (16). To this effect, we note that

$$\sum_{n_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x^- - x_n^- + n_0 R) \rightarrow \frac{1}{R} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_0 \delta(x^- - x_n^- + t_0) = \frac{1}{R}.$$

As in the subsection A, the information of the position of the graviton encoded in the metric disappears in this limit due to the appearance of the continuous translational symmetry.

To understand the background geometry of the DLCQ M theory, we are interested in the limit where $R \rightarrow \infty$. Following [8], we let the largest value among Q_n 's grow proportional to R to give a fixed light-cone momentum p_-^{-1} . In this limit, the leading contribution to Eq. (18) comes from $n_0 = 0$ and $n = n_{max}$ such that $Q_{n_{max}}$ is the largest value among Q_n 's. By setting $p_- = Q_{n_{max}}/R$, the metric expression becomes

$$ds_{11}^2 = dx^+ dx^- + l_p^9 \frac{p_- \delta(x^- - x_0^-)}{r^7} dx^- dx^- + dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_9^2, \quad (19)$$

¹Alternatively, we can set $\vec{r}_1 = \dots = \vec{r}_N = \vec{r}_{n_{max}}$ and $x_1^- = \dots = x_N^- = x_{n_{max}}^-$ by concentrating on single-center solutions. In this case, we define $Q_{n_{max}} = Q_1 + \dots + Q_N$ and the argument proceeds with no further corrections. The same remark also applies to the following subsections.

where $x_0^- = x_{n_{max}}^-$ and we introduce $r^2 = |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{n_{max}}|^2$. We see from Eq. (19) that M momentum effect is localized at $x^- = x_0^-$, and we call this behavior ‘light-cone momentum localization’. For $x^- \neq x_0^-$, the metric Eq. (19) becomes the eleven dimensional Minkowski space-time $R^{1,10}$. Precisely at the support of the delta function ($x^- = x_0^-$), the metric becomes

$$ds_{x_0^-}^2 = dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2 \quad (20)$$

which is R^9 . Since $x^\pm$ are light-cone variables, the first two terms in Eq. (19) vanish at a fixed x^- . To summarize, the background geometry of M theory is $R^{1,10}$, and the D -particles, or M momentum, that play the role of partons in BFSS theory, are localized in the R^9 submanifold located at $x^- = x_0^-$. This is consistent with BFSS theory where we write down the D-particle hamiltonian, and D -particles move on the target space of the transversal R^9 , thereby giving the Hilbert space of N -symmetric product of R^9 , i.e., R^{9N}/S_N . The microscopic description is holographically localized into the transversal R^9 . We note that R^9 at $x^- = x_0^-$ plays the role of $R^{1,d-1}$ at the spatial infinity of AdS_{d+1} in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence.

It is instructive to notice the parallel between the holographic description in the above and the Seiberg’s description of the microscopic theory in terms of $\tilde{M}$ theory on a spatial circle with the vanishingly small radius $\tilde{R}$. For a typical characteristic transversal scale $\tilde{R}_i$ of $\tilde{M}$ theory we let

$$\frac{R_i}{l_p} = \frac{\tilde{R}_i}{\tilde{l}_p},$$

and the rescaled eleven-dimensional Planck length is required to satisfy

$$\frac{R}{l_p^2} = \frac{\tilde{R}}{\tilde{l}_p^2}$$

to give physically the same description as the DLCQ M theory. In $\tilde{M}$ theory, the string coupling, the string length, the size of the eleventh spatial circle, and the characteristic transversal length scale is given by $\tilde{g}_s = (\tilde{R}/R)^{3/4}g_s$, $\tilde{l}_s = (\tilde{R}/R)^{1/4}l_s$, $\tilde{g}_s\tilde{l}_s = \tilde{R}$, and $\tilde{R}_i = (\tilde{R}/R)^{1/2}R_i$. Due to the vanishing $\tilde{M}$ theory circle size in the limit $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$ while keeping M theory parameters fixed, the M momentum of M theory becomes D -particle number of $\tilde{M}$ theory. Furthermore, $\tilde{g}_s, \tilde{l}_s \rightarrow 0$ in this limit, freezing the bulk dynamics and the massive string excitations. We also note that the size of the eleventh circle vanishes infinitely faster than the transversal length scale to yield R^9 , which corresponds to the spatial parts of the ten-dimensional IIA theory. These are the behaviors that we also observed in terms of the background geometry of the DLCQ M theory.

D. T^1 : DVV matrix string theory

M theory compactified on a circle, ninth spatial direction with a vanishingly small circumference R_9 , was given a successful matrix string theory formulation by Dijkgraaf, Verlinde and Verlinde [2]. To discuss the background geometry of this formulation, we start from the small R and R_9 expressions Eqs. (14) and (15). In the end, we will be interested in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$, as in the previous subsection. After replacing the summation over n_9 with an integration along the fashion of Eq. (5) and dimensionally-reducing it to ten dimensions along the light-cone eleventh circle x^- , we get the following IIA supergravity metric, the dilaton field, and RR one-form gauge field.

$$ds_{10}^2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}d\tau^2 + \sqrt{h}(dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2), \quad (21)$$

$$e^{-2\phi} = \left(\frac{R^{3/2}}{l_p^{3/2}}\right)^{-2} h^{-3/2}, \quad A_\tau = -\frac{1}{h}$$

Here h is a eight-dimensional harmonic function

$$h = \frac{8\pi}{15} \frac{l_p^9}{R^2 R_9} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^6}, \quad (22)$$

where $\vec{r}$ is an eight-dimensional vector. In addition, we introduced a time coordinate $\tau = x^+/2$. We note that $x_1, \dots, x_8$ are non-compact coordinates, and x_9 denotes the circumference position of the ninth spatial circle with the circumference R_9 . The metric Eq. (21), that is non-asymptotically flat in the ten-dimensional sense, is precisely that of the large Q_n and/or near-horizon metric of the usual D -particle solutions compactified on a spatial circle.

The key insight from Ref. [2] is that we can achieve nine-eleven flip via the chain of TST dualities where the T -dual transformation acts on the ninth circle. Under this chain of dual transformations, the original D -particle number changes to D -string winding number, then to fundamental string winding number, and finally to the fundamental string momentum. In addition, we will see from Eqs. (23) and (25) below that TST transformation renders the space-time geometry asymptotically-flat while making the dilaton field a constant. In fact, after directly applying this chain of dualities to Eq. (21), we find that the non-vanishing IIA supergravity fields are the metric

$$ds_{10}^2 = dx^+ dx_9 + h dx_9^2 + dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_8^2 \quad (23)$$

and the dilaton

$$e^{-2\phi} = \left(\frac{R_9^{3/2}}{l_p^{3/2}} \right)^{-2}, \quad (24)$$

where we use the same h as in Eq. (22) and we use x^+ in favor of $\tau = x^+/2$. The chain of dualities change the circumference of the ninth circle into R , the original M theory circle circumference (see the table 2 below). Furthermore, as Eq. (23) shows, the ninth circle, which was originally a spatial circle when $h = 0$, is now a light-like circle when $h = 0$. By lifting Eqs. (23) and (24) into the eleven dimensions, we find that the eleven dimensional metric now reads

$$ds_{11}^2 = dx^+ dx_9 + h dx_9^2 + dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_8^2 + dx^- dx^-. \quad (25)$$

This equation shows that the original light-like circle (when $h = 0$) x^- turns into a spatial circle with the circumference R_9 (see the table 2 below) under TST dualities. Compared to Eq. (16), we directly observe that the eleven dimensional metric Eq. (25) is precisely the nine-eleven flipped version of Eq. (16). The only difference is that while the coefficient of $dx^- dx^-$ term in Eq. (16) is a nine-dimensional harmonic function (produced by a point-like D -particle source in the language of IIA string theory), the coefficient h of dx_9^2 in Eq. (25) is a eight-dimensional ($x_1, \dots, x_8$) harmonic function. The source of h is of course fundamental strings (one-dimensional source) with zero winding number along the x^9 light-cone circle in IIA string theory. In the language of eleven-dimensional supergravity, the metric Eq. (25) describes the space-time geometry produced by the momentum of the longitudinal membranes (extended over (x_9, x^-)) wrapped along the M theory spatial circle (but not wrapped along the x_9 circle). Since these membranes do not wind around the full T^2 , they do not produce electric charges for the three-form gauge field.

To better understand the TST transformations that lead us to Eq. (25), we observe that the string coupling, string length scale, the ninth circle circumference, and the eleventh circle circumference change as follows under each duality transformation.

Type	string coupling	string length scale	ninth circle circumference	eleventh circle circumference
IIA	$g_s \equiv (R/l_p)^{3/2}$	$l_s \equiv (l_p^3/R)^{1/2}$	R_9	$g_s l_s = R$
IIB	$g_s l_s / R_9$	l_s	l_s^2 / R_9	
IIB	$R_9 / (g_s l_s)$	$g_s^{1/2} l_s^{3/2} / R_9^{1/2}$	l_s^2 / R_9	
IIA	$R_9^{3/2} / (g_s^{1/2} l_s^{3/2}) = (R_9/l_p)^{3/2}$	$g_s^{1/2} l_s^{3/2} / R_9^{1/2} = (l_p^3/R_9)^{1/2}$	$g_s l_s = R$	R_9

Table 2. We take T , S and T dualities, successively.

Under T duality along the ninth direction, the parameters transform like $R_9 \rightarrow l_s^2/R_9$, $g_s \rightarrow g_s l_s / R_9$, and $l_s \rightarrow l_s$. On the other hand, under S duality, the parameters transform like $R_9 \rightarrow R_9$, $g_s \rightarrow g_s^{-1}$, and $l_s \rightarrow \sqrt{g_s} l_s$. The eleven-dimensional Planck length l_p remains invariant under the TST transformations.

To investigate the behavior of M theory on T^1 , we have to take the $R \rightarrow \infty$ limit while keeping R_9 vanishingly small. Just like the analysis of the T^0 case, the massive Kaluza-Klein momentum modes along the x_9 circle give additional contributions to the metric expression. We thus write the metric expression as

$$ds_{10}^2 = dx^+ dx_9 + \frac{8\pi}{15} \frac{l_p^9}{R_9} \frac{p_9 \delta(x_9 - x_{90})}{r^6} dx_9^2 + dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_8^2. \quad (26)$$

We drop the eleventh circle since it is vanishingly small, and we set $p_9 = Q_{n_{max}}/R$ and $r^2 = |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^2$. We note that, in terms of the TST -transformed string coupling g'_s and the string length scale l'_s , we have $l_p^9/R_9 = g_s'^2 l_s'^8$, which is the same as the ten-dimensional Newton's constant up to a numerical factor. The parameter x_{90} denotes the longitudinal center of mass position of the string. The background geometry is the ten-dimensional Minkowski space-time $R^{1,9}$ as far as $x_9 \neq x_{90}$. The effect of the string momentum is holographically localized at $x_9 = x_{90}$; precisely at the support of the delta function $x_0 = x_{90}$, the first two terms of Eq. (26) vanish to yield the metric localized at the support

$$ds_{x_{90}}^2 = dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_8^2, \quad (27)$$

which is R^8 , the transversal space of the string. This is consistent with DVV theory, where strings move on the target space of the transversal R^8 , thereby giving the Hilbert space of R^{8N}/S_N .

Via $\tilde{M}$ theory of Seiberg, we can understand the microscopic theory better. We note that under the TST transformations the parameters of the $\tilde{M}$ theory transforms as follows.

Type	string coupling	string length scale	ninth circle circumference	eleventh circle circumference
IIA	$\left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R}\right)^{3/4} g_s$	$\left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R}\right)^{1/4} l_s$	$\left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R}\right)^{1/2} R_9$	$\tilde{R}$
IIB	$\left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R}\right)^{1/2} g_s l_s / R_9$	$\left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R}\right)^{1/4} l_s$	l_s^2 / R_9	
IIB	$\left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R}\right)^{1/2} R_9 / (g_s l_s)$	$\left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R}\right)^{1/2} g_s^{1/2} l_s^{3/2} / R_9^{1/2}$	l_s^2 / R_9	
IIA	$(R_9 / l_p)^{3/2}$	$\left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R}\right)^{1/2} (l_p^3 / R_9)^{1/2}$	$\tilde{R}$	$\left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R}\right)^{1/2} R_9$

Table 3. We take T , S and T dualities in $\tilde{M}$ theory, successively.

The characteristic length scale of the non-compact direction satisfies $\tilde{R}_i = R_i (\tilde{R}/R)^{1/2}$ where $i = 1, \dots, 8$, and $\tilde{l}_p = l_p (\tilde{R}/R)^{1/2}$. We mention that both the ninth circle and the eleventh circle are the spatial circles in $\tilde{M}$ theory. The second row was the one analyzed by Seiberg [9]. The fourth row, the DVV theory case, is also interesting, for the string mass scale that is the inverse of the string length scale diverges as we take the limit $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$, just like the second row. This implies that the massive string excitations freeze. Likewise, since the string coupling remains finite under the $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$ limit (showing that the basic objects of this theory are strings) and becomes very small for small R_9 , the bulk dynamics and the string loop effects can also be neglected, just like the case of the second row. On the contrary, in case of the third row, the string coupling diverges in the limit $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$, making it virtually impossible to write down the fundamental hamiltonian. The main difference between the second row and the fourth row is the size of the ninth circle. In the case of the second row, the characteristic transversal length scale vanishes infinitely faster than the ninth circle circumference (that becomes finite in the limit $\tilde{R} \rightarrow \infty$ and becomes large for a small value of R_9). Thus, the microscopic description in this case is (1+1)-dimensional supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory where the D -string numbers play the role of parton numbers. This is inherently the IIB type matrix theory. In the case of the fourth row, however, which gives inherently the IIA type matrix string theory, the ninth circle circumference vanishes infinitely faster than the non-compact characteristic length scale and the eleventh circle circumference, as we take the limit $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$. This is consistent with our background metric Eq. (26) where localizing $x_9 = x_{90}$ decouples the ninth (light-cone) circle. Furthermore, similar to what happens to the background metric, the circumference of the eleventh circle, that scales as $\tilde{R}^{1/2}$ like the transversal characteristic length scale, becomes very small for a small value of R_9 relative to the transversal length scale. Thus, it is natural to apply the prescription of Tayler [4] to fourier-decompose (T -dualize) the small eleventh circle getting the DVV hamiltonian from the BFSS hamiltonian. In both T^0 and T^1 cases, the background geometry is flat Minkowskian, the geometry localized at the light-cone momentum is flat Euclidean (R^8 or R^9), and the parton-like object is the light-cone momentum.

E. T^2 : AdS₄/CFT₃ Correspondence

The compactification of DLCQ M theory on T^2 provides us with the holographic description via AdS/CFT correspondence. We start from the case when R , R_8 and R_9 are small. The dimensional reduction along the light-cone M theory circle of Eqs. (14) and (15) yields the following ten-dimensional IIA supergravity fields.

$$ds_{10}^2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} d\tau^2 + \sqrt{h} (dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2), \quad (28)$$

$$e^{-2\phi} = \left(\frac{R^{3/2}}{l_p^{3/2}} \right)^{-2} h^{-3/2} \quad , \quad A_\tau = -\frac{1}{h}$$

Here h is a seven-dimensional harmonic function

$$h = \frac{\pi^2}{5} \frac{l_p^9}{R^2 R_8 R_9} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^5}, \quad (29)$$

where $\vec{r}$ is a seven-dimensional vector representing $(x_1, \dots, x_7)$, the non-compact part of the space-time. Since R_8 and R_9 are small, it is natural to take $T_8 T_9$ duality transformation. This transformation renders the metric and the dilaton field into

$$ds_{10}^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} (-d\tau^2 + dx_8^2 + dx_9^2) + \sqrt{h} (dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_7^2), \quad (30)$$

$$e^{-2\phi} = \left(\frac{R^{1/2} l_p^{3/2}}{R_8 R_9} \right)^{-2} h^{-1/2}.$$

The only non-vanishing RR gauge field is the RR three-form electric gauge field. The metric Eq. (30) is actually identical to the *near-horizon* metric of the D -membrane solutions and, as such, it is non-asymptotically flat in the ten-dimensional sense.

The $T_8 T_9$ transformation keeps the ten-dimensional string length scale fixed. However, the string coupling constant changes into $g'_s = g_s l_s^2 / (R_8 R_9)$, and the radii of the eighth and the ninth circle become $R'_8 = l_s^2 / R_8$ and $R'_9 = l_s^2 / R_9$, respectively. These changes in the parameters translate to the transformed eleven-dimensional Planck length $l'_p = l_p^2 / (R R_8 R_9)^{1/3}$ and the eleventh circumference $R'_{11} = l_p^3 / (R_8 R_9)$. We note that

$$\frac{R'_{11}}{l'_p} = \left(\frac{l_p^{3/2} R^{1/2}}{R_8 R_9} \right)^{2/3}. \quad (31)$$

We started from a vanishingly small values of R_8 (R_9) and, for this purpose, we require that the original R_8 (R_9) should be kept smaller than the string length scale

$$R_8 \ll l_s = \left(\frac{l_p}{R} \right)^{1/2} l_p, \quad R_9 \ll l_s = \left(\frac{l_p}{R} \right)^{1/2} l_p \quad (32)$$

regardless of the R/l_p ratio. Thus, the dual sizes R'_8 and R'_9 are infinitely large compared to the string length scale. Furthermore, the conditions Eq. (32) imply that

$$\frac{R'_{11}}{l'_p} = \left(\frac{l_p^{3/2} R^{1/2}}{R_8 R_9} \right)^{2/3} \gg \frac{R}{l_p}. \quad (33)$$

This equation shows that the eleventh direction naturally decompactifies in the limit where the original light-cone circle decompactifies ($R \gg l_p$); the background geometry in this limit is eleven-dimensional.

Since the RR one-form gauge field identically vanishes, the dimensionally lifted version of Eq. (30) into eleven dimensions via Eq. (9) determines the background metric to be

$$ds_{11}^2 = h^{-2/3} (-d\tau^2 + dx_8^2 + dx_9^2) + h^{1/3} (dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_7^2 + dx_{11}^2), \quad (34)$$

where the function h is rewritten as

$$h = \frac{8\pi}{15} l_p'^6 \left(\frac{3\pi}{8} \frac{1}{R'_{11}} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^5} \right) \quad (35)$$

in terms of the transformed eleven dimensional parameters. Eq. (34) is valid as long as R'_{11} is vanishingly small, and it is the same as the near horizon metric of M -membranes where the x_{11} direction transversal to the membrane is

compactified to a small radius (by setting R infinitesimally small). When the eleventh direction is compactified with a finite radius, we have to add the contributions from the mirror membranes that result from the lattice translation [22]. Thus, Eq. (35) can be generalized to

$$h = \frac{8\pi}{15} l_p'^6 \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{n_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{Q_n}{(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^2 + (x_{11} - x_{n11} + n_0 R'_{11})^2)^3}, \quad (36)$$

which, in the limit $R'_{11} \rightarrow 0$ where we can place the n_0 summation with an integration, reduces to Eq. (35) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{Q_n}{(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^2 + (x_{11} - x_{n11} + n_0 R'_{11})^2)^3} \\ & \rightarrow \frac{1}{R'_{11}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{Q_n dt}{(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^2 + (x_{11} - x_{n11} + t)^2)^3} = \frac{3\pi}{8} \frac{1}{R'_{11}} \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^5} \end{aligned}$$

Our primary interest is the decompactified limit where we require $R \gg l_p$; in this case R'_{11} goes to infinity according to Eq. (33). We are then naturally led to concentrate on the largest value among Q_n and set $Q = Q_{n_{max}}$. At the same time, we introduce $r^2 = |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{n_{max}}|^2 + (x_{11} - x_{n_{max}11})^2$, the eight-dimensional radial distance. In this case, only $n_0 = 0$ contributes to the n_0 summation. Therefore, we have

$$h = \frac{8\pi}{15} l_p'^6 \frac{Q}{r^6}, \quad (37)$$

which is an eight-dimensional harmonic function, since the eleventh direction is fully decompactified and can not be distinguished from $(x_1, \dots, x_7)$. We note that

$$l_p'^6 Q = \left(\frac{l_p'^9}{R'_8 R'_9} \right) \left(\frac{Q R'_8 R'_9}{l_p'^3} \right),$$

where the first factor in the curly bracket is proportional to the nine dimensional gravitational constant and the second factor is Q/R in terms of the original DLCQ M theory parameters. The second factor corresponds to the energy of Q -times wrapped M membranes when the usual factor one is added to the function h . In terms of a new radial coordinate $U = \sqrt{15/(8\pi)} r^2 / l_p'^3$ and using the function h in Eq. (37), we can rewrite the metric expression as

$$ds_{11}^2 = \left(\frac{8\pi}{15} \right)^{1/3} l_p'^2 \left(\frac{U^2}{Q^{2/3}} (-d\tau^2 + dx_8^2 + dx_9^2) + Q^{1/3} \left(\frac{dU^2}{4U^2} + d\Omega_{(7)}^2 \right) \right) \quad (38)$$

where $d\Omega_{(7)}^2$ is the metric on the unit seven-sphere. The background geometry Eq. (38) is $AdS_4 \times S^7$ as was first shown in Ref. [16].

The microscopic degrees of freedom in this case can be understood in terms of $\tilde{M}$ theory compactified on a spatial eleventh circle. After taking $T_8 T_9$ dual transformations in the context of $\tilde{M}$ theory, the string coupling and the string length scale satisfy

$$\tilde{g}'_s = \left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R} \right)^{1/4} \frac{R^{1/2} l_p'^{3/2}}{R_8 R_9}, \quad \tilde{l}'_s = \left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R} \right)^{1/4} \frac{l_p'^{3/2}}{R^{1/2}},$$

which vanishes as we take the limit $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$. Both of the bulk dynamics and the massive excitation freeze. At the same time, the $T_8 T_9$ transformation turns the original dynamics of D -particles into that of D -membranes. The eighth and the ninth directional sizes of the torus are

$$\tilde{R}'_8 = \frac{l_p'^3}{R_8 R}, \quad \tilde{R}'_9 = \frac{l_p'^3}{R_9 R} \quad (39)$$

that are identical to those of M theory and remain finite under the limit $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, the eleventh circle size, the eleven dimensional Planck length, and the typical transversal length scale ($i = 1, \dots, 7$) are given by

$$\tilde{R}'_{11} = \left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R} \right)^{1/2} \frac{l_p'^3}{R_8 R_9}, \quad \tilde{l}_p = \left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R} \right)^{1/3} \frac{l_p'^2}{(R R_8 R_9)^{1/3}}, \quad \tilde{R}'_i = \left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R} \right)^{1/2} R_i. \quad (40)$$

Compared to Eq. (39), the length scales in Eq. (40) vanish to zero as we take the limit $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$. The relevant microscopic dynamics of this theory is thus provided by the (2+1)-dimensional super Yang-Mills theory of D -membranes. We note that the behavior of the background geometry reflects the scaling in Eq. (40). The eleventh size and the transversal length scale scales the same way (as proportional to $\tilde{R}^{1/2}$). In the case of the background geometry, we observed that the apparent $SO(7)$ rotational symmetry of the background metric was enhanced to $SO(8)$ symmetry, since the eleventh direction is no longer distinguishable from other transversal directions.

The aforementioned determination of the microscopic theory due to Seiberg is in fact precisely that of the CFT_3 side description in the context of the holographic AdS_4/CFT_3 correspondence. Noting that our background geometry is $AdS_4 \times S^7$, this consideration supplies the heuristic derivation of the correspondence.

F. T^3 : AdS_5/CFT_4 Correspondence

For the compactification on a small three-torus, it is natural to take $T_7T_8T_9$ dual transformations. This turns the original type IIA/ M theory framework into type IIB theory, and D -particles are mapped into D three-branes. Unlike the case of T^1 and T^5 , the T^pST^p dual transformations that correspond to the nine-eleven flip and supply IIA/ M side formulation can not be applied in this context, for D three-branes are self-dual under S duality. Thus, we will consider the IIB ten-dimensional background geometry.

When R , R_7 , R_8 and R_9 are small, the dimensional reduction along the light-cone M theory circle of Eqs. (14) and (15) gives the following ten-dimensional IIA supergravity fields.

$$ds_{10}^2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}d\tau^2 + \sqrt{h}(dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2), \quad (41)$$

$$e^{-2\phi} = \left(\frac{R^{3/2}}{l_p^{3/2}}\right)^{-2} h^{-3/2}, \quad A_\tau = -\frac{1}{h}$$

Here h is a six-dimensional harmonic function

$$h = \frac{2\pi^3}{15} \frac{l_p^9}{R^2 R_7 R_8 R_9} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^4}, \quad (42)$$

where $\vec{r}$ is a six non-compact dimensional vector representing $(x_1, \dots, x_6)$. Under $T_7T_8T_9$ duality transformation, the metric and the dilaton field transform to

$$ds_{10}^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}(-d\tau^2 + dx_7^2 + dx_8^2 + dx_9^2) + \sqrt{h}(dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_6^2), \quad (43)$$

$$e^{-2\phi} = \left(\frac{l_p^3}{R_7 R_8 R_9}\right)^{-2}.$$

The only non-vanishing RR gauge field is the RR self-dual four-form gauge field. As before, the metric Eq. (43) is the *near-horizon* metric of the D three-brane solutions and non-asymptotically flat. The dual transformed string coupling constant is given by

$$g'_s = g_s \frac{R'_7 R'_8 R'_9}{l_s^3} = \frac{l_p^3}{R_7 R_8 R_9}, \quad (44)$$

where the dual transformed circle size $R'_7 = l_p^3/(R_7 R)$ (and similarly for R'_8 and R'_9). The string length scale l_s is invariant under the $T_7T_8T_9$ transformation. In terms of these parameters, the function h of Eq. (42) can be rewritten as

$$h = \frac{2\pi^3}{15} g'_s l_s^4 \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^4}. \quad (45)$$

The distinctive feature of T^3 compactification is that the string coupling constant g'_s , Eq. (44), is independent of the size of the original eleventh circle R due to the self-duality under S dual transformation. This implies that the effective eleventh circle size relative to the eleventh Planck length remains fixed even under the decompactification limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ of the original system. Therefore it is sensible to consider the ten dimensional background geometry even in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, when considering the decompactification limit, we require the maximum value of Q_n 's to asymptotically grow accordingly and set $Q = Q_{n_{max}}$ to get the function

$$h = \frac{2\pi^3}{15} g'_s l_s^4 \frac{Q}{r^4} \quad (46)$$

where we define $r^2 = |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{n_{max}}|^2$. We observe that

$$g'_s l_s^4 Q = \left(\frac{g_s'^2 l_s^8}{R'_7 R'_8 R'_9} \right) \left(\frac{Q R'_7 R'_8 R'_9}{g'_s l_s^4} \right),$$

where the first factor in the curly bracket is proportional to the seven dimensional gravitational constant and the second factor is Q/R in terms of the original DLCQ M theory parameters. The second factor corresponds to the energy of Q -times wrapped D threebranes when the usual factor one is added to the function h . Plugging Eq. (46) into Eq. (43) yields

$$ds_{10}^2 = \left(\frac{2\pi^3}{15} g'_s Q \right)^{-1/2} \frac{r^2}{l_s^2} (-d\tau^2 + dx_7^2 + dx_8^2 + dx_9^2) + \left(\frac{2\pi^3}{15} g'_s Q \right)^{1/2} l_s^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{r^2} + d\Omega_{(5)}^2 \right) \quad (47)$$

where $d\Omega_{(5)}^2$ is the metric on the unit five-sphere. The background geometry is $AdS_5 \times S^5$ as shown in Eq. (47).

Going to $\tilde{M}$ theory side, we find that the dual transformed string coupling, the seventh, eighth, and ninth dual transformed circle sizes of $\tilde{M}$ theory are the same of those of DLCQ M theory. They accordingly remain fixed when we take the limit $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, the characteristic transversal length scales $\tilde{R}_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, 6$) are proportional to $\tilde{R}^{1/2}$ and vanish in the same limit. Likewise, the string length scale of $\tilde{M}$ theory vanishes, proportional to $\tilde{R}^{1/4}$ when we take $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$. Thus, the microscopic description of the DLCQ M theory on T^3 is the supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory of D threebranes. This is the CFT_4 side description in the holographic AdS_5/CFT_4 correspondence, and we showed that the background geometry of DLCQ M theory on T^3 is $AdS_5 \times S^5$.

G. T^4 : AdS_7/CFT_6 Correspondence

Our starting point here is the limit where R and R_i ($i = 6, 7, 8, 9$) are small. Upon the dimensional reduction along the eleventh light-cone circle and taking $T_6 T_7 T_8 T_9$ duality transformation, the IIA supergravity fields look like

$$ds_{10}^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} (-d\tau^2 + dx_6^2 + \dots + dx_9^2) + \sqrt{h} (dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_5^2) \quad (48)$$

$$e^{-2\phi} = \left(\frac{l_p^{9/2}}{R^{1/2} R_6 R_7 R_8 R_9} \right)^{-2} h^{1/2},$$

where the five-dimensional harmonic function h is given by

$$h = \frac{\pi^4}{15} \frac{l_p^9}{R^2 R_6 R_7 R_8 R_9} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^3}. \quad (49)$$

Here $\vec{r}$ represents a five dimensional vector for five non-compact dimensions $(x_1, \dots, x_5)$. The only non-vanishing RR field is the magnetic three-form gauge field whose charge is D fourbranes wrapped along $(6, 7, 8, 9)$ four-torus.

We note that under the dual transformation, the dual string coupling constant and the dual sizes of the four-torus are given by

$$g'_s = g_s \frac{l_s^4}{R_6 R_7 R_8 R_9} = \frac{l_p^9}{R^{1/2} R_6 R_7 R_8 R_9}, \quad R'_i = \frac{l_s^2}{R_i} = \frac{l_p^3}{R_i R} \quad (50)$$

in terms of the original IIA parameters and M theory parameters, respectively. The string length scale l_s remains fixed. We observe that, for the small values of original light-cone M theory circle size R and R_i , the dual string coupling is large; we should thus lift the solution into the eleven dimensions. The corresponding eleven dimensional metric reads

$$ds_{11}^2 = h^{-1/3}(-d\tau^2 + dx_6^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2 + dx_{11}^2) + h^{2/3}(dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_5^2) \quad (51)$$

where we rewrite the five-dimensional harmonic function h as

$$h = \frac{\pi^4}{15} l_p'^3 \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Q_n}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n|^3}, \quad (52)$$

using dual transformed eleven dimensional Planck scale

$$l_p' = \frac{l_p^3}{R^{2/3}(R_6 R_7 R_8 R_9)^{1/3}}.$$

The eleven dimensional circle that is now spatial has a size R'_{11}

$$R'_{11} = \frac{l_p^6}{R R_6 R_7 R_8 R_9}.$$

Eq. (51) is identical to the near horizon geometry of the longitudinal M fivebranes, which is an exact solution of the eleven dimensional supergravity. We note that

$$l_p'^3 Q_n = \left(\frac{l_p'^9}{R'_6 R'_7 R'_8 R'_9 R'_{11}} \right) \left(\frac{Q_n R'_6 R'_7 R'_8 R'_9 R'_{11}}{l_p'^6} \right),$$

where the first factor in the curly bracket is proportional to the six dimensional gravitational constant and the second factor is Q_n/R in terms of the original DLCQ M theory parameters. The second factor corresponds to the energy of Q_n -times wrapped M fivebranes when the usual factor one is added to the function h . Here it means that we are in the $Q(\equiv Q_{n_{max}})$ -sector DLCQ M theory in the decompactified limit $R \rightarrow \infty$. In this limit, we introduce $r^2 = |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{n_{max}}|^2$ and rewrite the function h as

$$h = \frac{\pi^4}{15} l_p'^3 \frac{Q}{r^3}. \quad (53)$$

Introducing a new spatial coordinate $U^2 = r/l_p'^3$ and plugging Eq. (53) into Eq. (51) yield

$$ds_{11}^2 = \left(\frac{\pi^4}{15} Q \right)^{-1/3} l_p'^2 U^2 (-d\tau^2 + dx_6^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2 + dx_{11}^2) + \left(\frac{\pi^4}{15} Q \right)^{2/3} l_p'^2 \left(\frac{4dU^2}{U^2} + d\Omega_{(4)}^2 \right) \quad (54)$$

where $d\Omega_{(4)}^2$ is the metric on the unit four-sphere and we use spherical coordinates. The eleven dimensional background geometry shown in Eq. (54) is $AdS_7 \times S^4$.

Microscopic degrees of freedom in this case can be understood by resorting to the $\tilde{M}$ theory [5] [6] [23]. We find that the string coupling constant and the string length scale of $\tilde{M}$ theory are

$$\tilde{g}'_s = \left(\frac{R}{\tilde{R}} \right)^{1/4} g'_s, \quad \tilde{l}_s = \left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R} \right)^{1/4} l_s. \quad (55)$$

In the limit $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$, the string coupling constant diverges and, as a result, we have to go to the eleven dimensional $\tilde{M}$ theory. In fact, the size of the eleventh spatial circle and the size of the dual torus radii of $\tilde{M}$ theory are finite in the $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$ limit.

$$\tilde{R}'_{11} = g'_s l_s = R'_{11}, \quad \tilde{R}'_i = R'_i \quad (56)$$

On the other hand, the characteristic transversal length scale ($j = 1, \cdots, 5$) and the eleven dimensional Planck length vanish in the same limit.

$$\tilde{R}'_j = \left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R}\right)^{1/2} R'_j, \quad \tilde{l}'_p = \left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R}\right)^{1/6} l'_p \quad (57)$$

The vanishing of the eleven dimensional Planck length implies that the bulk dynamics in eleven dimensions freezes, and we have the microscopic description on the world-volume of $\tilde{M}$ fivebranes, (2,0) field theory [24]. This theory has new degrees of freedom; the Yang-Mills coupling constant $g_{YM}^2 = \tilde{g}'_s \tilde{l}'_s = g'_s l'_s$ is finite (when taking $\tilde{R} \rightarrow 0$ limit) and the new degrees of freedom appear at about the energy scale $g_{YM}^{-2} = (g'_s l'_s)^{-1}$. Since this is the inverse of the eleven dimensional circle size $\tilde{R}'_{11}$, the extra degrees of freedom corresponds to the $\tilde{M}$ momentum modes along the $\tilde{M}$ theory circle.

These modes, in the decompactified limit where the size of the eleventh circle becomes infinite, become massless and they can thus contribute to the background metric of DLCQ M theory, recalling that $\tilde{R}'_{11} = R'_{11}$. In the type IIA limit of the $\tilde{M}$ theory, these are contributions from D -particles. Therefore, since we have supersymmetric bound states of D fourbranes and D -particles, we write the type IIA DLCQ supergravity fields as

$$ds_{10}^2 = -h_0^{-1/2} h^{-1/2} d\tau^2 + h_0^{1/2} h^{-1/2} (dx_6^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2) + h_0^{1/2} h^{1/2} (dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_5^2) \quad (58)$$

$$e^{-2\phi} = g_s'^{-2} h^{1/2} h_0^{3/2}, \quad A_\tau = -\frac{1}{h_0},$$

which is an exact BPS solution of DLCQ IIA supergravity. Now RR one-form gauge field is non-vanishing, as well as magnetic RR three-form gauge field. The function h is the same as before, and h_0 is given by

$$h_0 = \frac{l_p'^9}{R'_6 R'_7 R'_8 R'_9 R'_{11}} \left(\frac{Q_0}{R'_{11}}\right) \frac{1}{r^3} = \frac{Q_0 l_p'^3}{r^3} \quad (59)$$

and it is another harmonic function defined in the non-compact five dimensional space $(x_1, \cdots, x_5)$. Lifting the ten-dimensional fields Eqs. (58) into the eleven dimensions and taking the decompactified limit $R'_{11} \rightarrow \infty$ produce the following eleven dimensional metric ($x^+ = 2\tau$)

$$ds_{11}^2 = h^{-1/3} (dx^+ dx^- + h_0 dx^- dx^- + dx_6^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2) + h^{2/3} (dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_5^2), \quad (60)$$

where the function h_0 has been changed into

$$h_0 \rightarrow h_0 = \frac{l_p'^9}{R'_6 R'_7 R'_8 R'_9} \left(\frac{p_- \delta(x^- - x_0^-)}{r^3}\right) \quad (61)$$

as in the case of T^0 DLCQ M theory. Here $p_- = Q_0/R'_{11}$ that is kept fixed in the limit $R'_{11} \rightarrow \infty$, and x_0^- is a real parameter. We notice that outside the support of the delta function Eq. (60) is identical to Eq. (54), i.e., $AdS_7 \times S^4$, except that the eleventh circle is now light-like. In fact, as we take the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$ to go to the boundary manifold of AdS_7 , the modified term in Eq. (60) proportional to h_0 asymptotically drops out and the asymptotic geometry is precisely that of $AdS_7 \times S^4$; the only difference in asymptotic geometries between Eq. (60) and Eq. (54) is that the eleventh circle is light-like. In other words, the new degrees of freedom of (2,0) field theory do not change the asymptotic geometry and can be regarded as the fluctuation of exact $AdS_7 \times S^4$. This consideration heuristically shows the validity of AdS_7/CFT_6 correspondence.

III. DISCUSSIONS

We found a uniform derivation of the background geometry of DLCQ M theory. For even values of p , the background geometry is eleven dimensional, while for odd values of p the background geometry is ten dimensional. For $p > 1$, the background geometry of DLCQ M theory is the tensor product of AdS_{d+1} space ($d = 3, 4, 6$) and a sphere. We emphasize that our analysis makes sense even for the case when the transversal coordinates of the AdS_{d+1} space, i.e., the spatial coordinates other than the radial coordinate, form a finite torus T'^{d-1} in the finite N -sector DLCQ M theory². In this case, the number of geometric killing spinor is sixteen [25], and the AdS_{d+1} space has the

²In the case of single-center solutions with the finite N and finite dual torus size, the background geometry is an AdS space with identifications. In the case of the multi-center solutions, the space-time geometry is asymptotically an AdS space.

diffeomorphism symmetry group that is a proper subgroup of $SO(d, 2)$. The microscopic description is given by the supersymmetric Yang-Mills matrix theory or $(2, 0)$ matrix theory. In the limit of DLCQ M theory where the M theory circle is decompactified (large N sector) along with the dual torus of T^p , the diffeomorphism symmetry enhances to $SO(d, 2)$ and the killing spinor number gets doubled to 32 [26]. On the boundary manifold of the AdS_{d+1} , which is d -dimensional (that is isomorphic to the world-volume of $(d-1)$ -brane of $\tilde{M}$ theory), the preservation of the bulk diffeomorphism symmetry $SO(d, 2)$ translates to the conformal symmetry in d dimensions (the world-volume conformal symmetry of $\tilde{M}$ theory) [13]. Thus, in this limit, the conformal symmetric sector of the matrix theory side description is relevant. Therefore, for the case of $AdS_{4,5,7}$, we understand why AdS/CFT correspondence works. It is simply the correspondence between the DLCQ M theory in the decompactified limit and the $\tilde{M}$ theory in the conformal limit.

Recently, Vafa gave explicit examples in the context of AdS_3/CFT_2 that show the incompleteness of AdS/CFT correspondence [17]. Our analysis in this paper do not yield AdS_3 background geometry and it suggests that the similar examples in $AdS_{4,5,7}$ should be absent. The typical situation where AdS_3 (or $AdS_2 \times S^1$) can appear is the case of the S dual version of D -string D fivebrane bound states [16] [19]. In the language of $\tilde{M}$ theory on T^5 [6] [7], this situation contains new degrees of freedom corresponding to string-like excitations in addition to the usual Yang-Mills description [9]. It remains to be seen if this extra complication leads to the explanation of Vafa's puzzle. We note that for the description of these 'micro-strings' [27], we possibly need an additional infinite boost that should realize the nine-eleven flip for these micro-strings, and the simple picture of holography given in this paper should be modified.

For $p = 0, 1$, the submanifold of the support of the parton momentum (M -momentum in BFSS theory and the fundamental string momentum in DVV theory) are R^{9-p} . The target space conformal symmetry of R^{9-p} manifold is $SO(10-p, 1)$. Recalling that the background geometry is $R^{10-p,1}$, the target space conformal symmetry is identical to the Lorentz symmetry of the background geometry. Further elucidation of this point will help us better understand the covariance of the matrix theory in the infinite momentum frame.

It is interesting to note that the curved geometries of AdS spaces preserve the full 32 supersymmetry in the decompactified limit and they are classically (curvature) singularity-free. In our opinion, these space-times provide us with true space-time vacua of DLCQ M theory. To sharpen this assertion, it is important to compare the scattering calculations of the matrix theory on T^p ($p > 1$) to the supergravity calculations on the AdS background. The investigation in this regard will be reported elsewhere [28].

There are several important lines of generalization of this paper. The DLCQ M theory on T^6 [29] poses a challenging problem in its microscopic description due to the appearance of the infinite number of new degrees of freedom. However, as far as the background geometry is concerned, we can follow the procedure of this paper to obtain the Kaluza-Klein monopole background in eleven dimensions. It remains to be seen what this background geometry teaches us about the microscopic description. For the DLCQ M theory on T^p with $p > 1$, we can consider the case when the torus is non-commutative [30]. This generalization, that requires non-vanishing NS-NS two-form gauge fields, is essential to uncover, for example, the full structure of $(2, 0)$ matrix theory including Fayet-Iliopoulos terms. In addition, the heterotic side generalization of our analysis will obviously be of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Jae-Suk Park and Hyeonjoon Shin for helpful discussions.

-
- [1] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. Shenker, L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. **D 55**, 5112 (1997).
 - [2] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B 500**, 43 (1997), hep-th/9703030.
 - [3] T. Banks, hep-th/9710231; D. Bigatti and L. Susskind, hep-th/9712072; S. Hellerman and J. Polchinski, hep-th/9711037; D. Bigatti and L. Susskind, hep-th/9711063 and references cited therein.
 - [4] W. Taylor, Phys. Lett. **B 394**, 283 (1997), hep-th/9611042.
 - [5] M. Rozali, Phys. Lett. **B 400**, 260 (1997), hep-th/9702136.
 - [6] M. Berkooz, M. Rozali, and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. **B 408**, 105 (1997), hep-th/9704089.
 - [7] N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. **B 408**, 98 (1997), hep-th/9705221.
 - [8] L. Susskind, hep-th/9704080.
 - [9] N. Seiberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3577 (1997), hep-th/9710009.

- [10] A. Sen, hep-th/9709220.
- [11] G. 't Hooft, in *Salamfest 1993*, p. 284, gr-qc/9310026; L. Susskind, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 6377 (1995).
- [12] J. Maldacena, hep-th/9711200.
- [13] E. Witten, hep-th/9802150.
- [14] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, and A.M. Polyakov, hep-th/9802109.
- [15] S. Hyun, hep-th/9704005; H.J. Boonstra, B. Peeters and K. Skendris, Phys. Lett. **B 411**, 59, hep-th/9706192.
- [16] S. Hyun, to appear in Phys. Lett. **B**, hep-th/9802026.
- [17] C. Vafa, hep-th/9804172.
- [18] G.T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. **B 360**, 197 (1991).
- [19] S. Hyun, Y. Kiem and H. Shin, Phys. Rev. **D 57**, 4856 (1998), hep-th/9712021.
- [20] P.C. Aichelburg, R. U. Sexl, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **2**, 303 (1971).
- [21] K. Becker, M. Becker, J. Polchinski, A. Tseytlin, Phys. Rev. **D56**, 3174 (1997), hep-th/9706072.
- [22] N. Itzhaki, J. Maldacena, J. Sonnenschein, and S. Yankielowicz, hep-th/9802042.
- [23] O. Aharony, M. Berkooz, S. Kachru, N. Seiberg and E. Silverstein, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **1**, 148 (1998), hep-th/9707079; E. Witten, hep-th/9707093; J. Brodie and S. Ramgoolam, hep-th/9711001; P. Claus, R. Kallosh and A. Van Proeyen, hep-th/9711161; O.J. Ganor and S. Sethi, hep-th/9712071; O. Aharony, M. Berkooz and N. Seiberg, hep-th/9712117.
- [24] E. Witten, hep-th/9507121; A. Strominger, Phys. Lett. **B 383**, 44 (1996), hep-th/9512059.
- [25] H. Lu, C.N. Pope and P.K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **B 391**, 39 (1997), hep-th/9607164.
- [26] R. Haag, J. Lopuszanski and M. Sohnius, Nucl. Phys. **B 88**, 257 (1975); R. Kallosh and J. Kumar, Phys. Rev. **D 56**, 4934 (1997).
- [27] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **62**, 348 (1998), hep-th/9709107.
- [28] S. Hyun, Y. Kiem and H. Shin, to appear.
- [29] O. Ganor, hep-th/9709139; A. Losev, G. Moore, and S. Shatashvili, hep-th/9707250; I. Brunner and A. Karch, Phys. Lett. **B 416**, 67 (1998), hep-th/9707259; A. Hanany and G. Lifschytz, hep-th/9708037.
- [30] A. Connes, M.R. Douglas and A. Schwarz, hep-th/9711162; M.R. Douglas and C. Hull, hep-th/9711165.